Open angle glaucoma and advanced technologies

> Pinakin G Davey OD, PhD, FAAO Professor



#### Disclosure

- Principal investigator for FDA iVue OCT trial
- Principal investigator Topcon FDA trials
  - FDA Topcon NDB Maestro and OCT 2000
  - FDA Topcon OD and Retina study
  - FDA NDB II study
  - FDA Maestro AP II study
- Principal investigator FDA Zeiss GDx PRO NDB study
- Consultant for Optovue and Topcon
- Speakers bureau Sanofi- Genzyme and Allergan



#### Objectives

- 1) Compare and contrast the differences between the new tonometer devices like the Pascal Dynamic contour tonometer and Ocular Response Analyzer with the gold standard in tonometry Goldmann applanation tonometer.
- 2) Understand and interpret and use of imaging technology and visual fields in management of primary open angle glaucoma
- 3) Cases



#### 66 B/F

VA 20/40 OD, OS 20/30 OU
Blur past few months, constant
Previous history elevated IOPs (3 annual visits)
Range OD 20-24 OS 19-23

IOP today 22, 20 (OD, OS)











Ocular hypertension • Pre-perimetric glaucoma



#### Intraocular pressure

- Diagnosis- not helpful
- Treatment- only proven method
- Progression- very closely associated with IOP
- Risk factor- without a doubt most important risk factor
- In fact only alterable risk factor!

#### Various tonometers

- Indentation-Schiötz tonometry only theoretical interest
- Applanation
  - Goldmann, Perkins
  - Mackay-Marg-Tonopen
  - Pneumotonometer Non-contact
- Others
  - Dynamic Contour Tonometer
  - Ocular Response Analyzer
  - 7CR
  - Diaton
  - Rebound tonometer

## Inherent differences in types of tonometers

- Contact area and region
- Anesthetic required/not required
- Non-contact or contact
- portable or hand held
- disinfection required
- observer dependence/independent

#### Pascal -Dynamic Contour Tonometer



#### Dynamic contour tonometer



#### Dynamic contour tonometer (cont 2)

- Digital output
- Continuous recording of IOP waveform



#### Dynamic contour tonometer (cont 3)

- The corneal biomechanical contribution to IOP measurement is largely removed when the cornea takes up the shape of the tip.
- Tip radius of curvature is 10.5mm.
- Pressure sensor is 1.5 mm.













### OPA and NTG



### Ocular Response Analyzer



•IOPG - Goldmann Correlated IOP •IOPCC - Corneal Compensated IOP •CH - Corneal Hysteresis •CRF - Corneal Resistance Factor •CCT - Central Corneal Thickness





Classifying Corneal Pathologies







#### Corneal Hysteresis but Not Corneal Thickness Correlates with Optic Nerve Surface Compliance in Glaucoma Patients

Anthony P. Wells,  $^{12}$  Durid F. Garway-Heath,  $^3$  All Poostchi,  $^1$  Tracey Wong,  $^2$  Kenneth C. Y. Chan,  $^2$  and Nisha Sachdev  $^2$ 

Low corneal hysteresis may be an independent indicator of glaucoma



#### Heidelberg Retina Tomograph

- First
- Relatively unchanged
- Confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy















#### Moorfields Regression Analysis

- Prior knowledge that NRR is related to optic disc size.
- NRR may possibly decline with age.
- Knowledge of glaucomatous disease process.

# Relationship between NRR and optic disc



### Log NRR and optic disc



## Optic disc and statistical limits





#### GDx-VCC and GDx Pro





I he amount of retardation from the RNFL is directly proportional to the RNFL thickness<sup>1.</sup> Retardation =Birefringence\* thickness

<sup>1</sup> Weinreb et al. Arch Ophthalmology 1990; 108: 557-560.



## TSNIT Map and Table of parameters



TSMT CO 08 Parameters Restand Ver 63.52 TSNIT Average 64,13 Superior Average 88.24 81.81 79.37 73.95 Internal Average TSNIT Std. Dev 29.54 26,13 inter-Cys Symmetry 0.95 671 4 Ħ

Best diagnostic parameter in identifying glaucoma using GDx is- NFI





#### Optical coherence tomography

- It has become the mainstay of all the imaging
- It is indeed at a great point now
  - Prior devices were changing rapidly
  - Did not have good software
  - Many imaging errors
  - Numerous unknowns on how to use technology

# Comparison of Time versus Fourier domain





#### **OCT-Principles**













#### Time Domain OCT

- Sequential
- 1 pixel at a time
- 1024 pixels per A-scan
- 400 Å scans per second
- 512 A-scans in 1.28 sec
- Slower than eye movements

#### Fourier Domain OCT

- Simultaneous
- Entire A-scan at once
- 2048 pixels per A scan
- 26 to 80 K A scans per second
- 1024 A-scans in 0.04 secFaster than eye movements



Cirrus™ HD-OCT Software Version 5.1



#### Glaucoma – RNFL Thickness Analysis

- Optic Disc scan
  Cube scan with 6mm x 6mm area









#### Thickness map





#### **Deviation Map**





#### Tomogram







## Global parameters

	00	05
Average RNFL Thickness	:88.µm	. ET 400
AMPL Symmetry	612	
Rin Area	0.94 mm <sup>+</sup>	Q SH mm?
Disc Area	1.52 mm <sup>1</sup>	1.45 mm <sup>2</sup>
Average C/D Ratio	1.66	872
Verticel CID Ratio	0.58	12.67
Cig: Volume	9.225 mm <sup>1</sup>	0.230 mm















#### Arrangement of fibers in retina





#### Macula analysis Ganglion cell complex (Optovue)

Optovue) NFL+ GCL+IPL Ganglion cell analysis (Zeiss)

























Case











#### 59 BF

- VA 20/20-3 OD, OS
- Refraction
  - Splan
     Oynetic
     Art.
     VB
     ASD

     CD
     1.71
     2011
     2021
     2035

     CD
     4.000
     40.75
     Tag
     2011
     2035
- Systemic history hypertension diagnosed 1 year
- No other complaints
- IOP 15 mmHg OU





110



#### Accutome Pach V Date: Mon 03/07/2011 Time: 05:26 PM

Patient's Name: \_

g OD	OS
480	473
481	481
478	487
464	473
483	509
478	488
0	0
T 480	484
15	15
18	18
	9 OD 480 461 478 484 483 479 0 T 480 15 18





-

Open angle glaucoma

Your thoughts?

